

Guy O. Kornblum gkornblum@kcehlaw.com

Using Expert Witnesses in Insurance Bad Faith and Coverage Litigation

Overcoming Obstacles in Presenting Expert Opinion Testimony

Strafford

Tips for Optimal Quality

Sound Quality

If you are listening via your computer speakers, please note that the quality of your sound will vary depending on the speed and quality of your internet connection.

If the sound quality is not satisfactory, you may listen via the phone: dial **1-866-927-5568** and enter your PIN when prompted. Otherwise, please **send us a chat** or e-mail **sound@straffordpub.com** immediately so we can address the problem.

If you dialed in and have any difficulties during the call, press *0 for assistance.

Viewing Quality

To maximize your screen, press the F11 key on your keyboard. To exit full screen, press the F11 key again.

Continuing Education Credits

In order for us to process your continuing education credit, you must confirm your participation in this webinar by completing and submitting the Attendance Affirmation/Evaluation after the webinar.

A link to the Attendance Affirmation/Evaluation will be in the thank you email that you will receive immediately following the program.

For additional information about continuing education, call us at 1-800-926-7926 ext. 35.

Program Materials

If you have not printed the conference materials for this program, please complete the following steps:

- Click on the ^ symbol next to "Conference Materials" in the middle of the lefthand column on your screen.
- Click on the tab labeled "Handouts" that appears, and there you will see a PDF of the slides for today's program.
- Double click on the PDF and a separate page will open.
- Print the slides by clicking on the printer icon.

Our Topics

What industry experts are best suited for bad faith claims and what are common challenges or objections to their testimony?

Our Topics

What industry experts are best suited for *insurance coverage claims* and what are common challenges or objections to their testimony?

Our Topics

Under what circumstances would Attorneys play a role in presenting Expert Witness opinions?

Strafford

Third Party Failure to Settle

Third Party Failure to Defend

First Party Failure to Pay or Delay in Paying Claim

Strafford

Types of Claims

Third Party Failure to Settle

Third Party Failure to Defend or Indemnify

First Party Failure to Pay or Delay in Paying Claim

Strafford

Using Expert Witnesses in Insurance Bad Faith and Coverage Litigation

6

Third Party Failure to Settle

Third Party Failure to Defend

First Party Failure to Pay or Delay in Paying Claim

Strafford

Using Expert Witnesses in Insurance Bad Faith and Coverage Litigation

7

<u>California</u>

California Civil Jury Instructions (CACI) 2330. Implied Obligation of Good Faith and Fair Dealing Explained

To breach the implied obligation of good faith and fair dealing, an insurance company must, *unreasonably <u>or</u> without proper cause*, act or fail to act in a manner that deprives the insured of the benefits of the policy. It is not a mere failure to exercise reasonable care. However, it is not necessary for the insurer to intend to deprive the insured of the benefits of the policy.

What is "Bad Faith"?

Other states

See United Policyholders: 50 State Survey of Bad Faith Laws and Remedies (October 23, 2014)

Strafford

Through experts, suggest or establish good faith claims principles

(and possibly, how they were violated or complied with in your case)

12

Strafford

In Reedy v. White Consolidated Industries, Inc., 890 F. Supp. 1417 (N.D. Iowa 1995), the Court noted that the

"claims adjusting procedure is . . . something about which the average juror is unlikely to have sufficient knowledge or experience to form an opinion without expert guidance, thus expert testimony would not be superfluous."

Using Experts To Establish "Good Faith" Claims Principles

Some courts reject this approach

In Shefinio v. GEICO General Ins. Co. (WD. Pa. 5/31/2013), the court granted a motion *in limine* as to plaintiff's expert on claims handling because

"the concept of bad faith is within the ken of the average layperson such that expert testimony is not necessary in this matter. A reasonable juror certainly possesses the requisite knowledge to assess the bad faith allegation, which is equally neither particularly complex nor scientific"

14

Using Experts To Establish "Good Faith" Claims Principles

Hangarter v. Provident Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 373 F. 3d 998 (9th Cir. 2004): plaintiff's expert was qualified to testify on bad faith:

...standards set out in Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc. 509 U.S. 579 (1997) did not apply "to this kind of testimony, whose reliability depends heavily on the knowledge and experience of the expert, rather than the methodology or theory behind it."

Experts may be permitted to establish industry custom and practice or "good faith" claims handling principles

Might be permitted to testify that conduct was or was not consistent with industry custom and practice, or met the "good faith" claims handling principles

Anticipate or Establish Defenses

Using or Challenging the "Genuine Dispute" Doctrine through Experts

Expert May Lend Support to Case for Punitive Damages:

"Substantial Departure" from "Good Faith" Claims Practices

Strafford

Claims investigation –

Diligent, thorough, fair, objective

Strafford

Customary claims practices/ Industry Standards

Fact of compliance does not mean "good faith"

Fact of departure does not mean "bad faith"

20

Strafford

Customary claims practices/ Industry Standards

Custom and Practice may or may not violate "good faith" claim practices

Failure to comply may or may not be evidence of "bad faith"

21

Strafford

Coverage Issues

Can expert testify about policy drafting, that policy language is ambiguous, that exclusions are inconspicuous, or how the policy language should be interpreted and applied to the facts?

The Decision Making Process

Strafford

Supervision and Review

Strafford

Claims Training

Strafford

Claims Manuals and Written Procedures

29

Strafford

When might I need an expert on claims handling?

Are they required? See, e.g. Bergman v. USAA, 742 A.2d 1101 (Pa. Super. 1999)(court refused to adopt a blanket rule requiring expert testimony in all cases involving bad faith claims)

> Wolfson and Bourhis, "Do You Need an Expert to Prove Bad Faith?," <u>www.dllawgroup.com</u> /Do-You-Need-An-Expert-To-Prove-Bad-Faith.shtml

Strafford

Using Expert Witnesses in Insurance Bad Faith and Coverage Litigation

30

Early file review; make sure he/she is comfortable with your client's position

No surprises

Strafford



Early retention enhances credibility and reflects well on your client

Strafford

Assistance in developing case theories; Anticipating questions for and deposition preparation of claims personnel (for insurer); Developing questions for claims personnel or adverse experts (for insured)



Preparation for Testimony begins at Retention

Strafford



Make sure the expert can qualify

...an expert attorney witness...The Court found expert testimony was appropriate because it

"relate[d] to matters beyond the knowledge or experience possessed by laypersons or dispels a misconception common among laypersons."

Furr v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 716 N.E.2d 250, 258 (Ohio App. Ct. 1998).

The court determined that the insured's bad faith expert, a lawyer who represented policyholders, did not qualify as an expert in bad faith coverage cases.

"no foundation whatsoever was laid to demonstrate that [expert] had any special knowledge, skill, experience, training or education such as would qualify him as an expert on insurance company practices.

The court applied Kumho to determine the admissibility of the expert's nonscientific evidence. California Shoppers, Inc. v. Royal Globe Ins. Co., 175 Cal. App. 3d 1, 66, 221 Cal. Rptr. 171 (Ct. App. 1985)



Internet sites

Example: <u>www.jurispro.com/badfaith</u>

Strafford



Where do I find an Expert?

Reported Cases

Strafford

Using Expert Witnesses in Insurance Bad Faith and Coverage Litigation

39



Where do I find an Expert?

Industry Organizations

Strafford

Using Expert Witnesses in Insurance Bad Faith and Coverage Litigation

40

What do I look for in an Expert?

Qualifications and Experience in the specific area of insurance at issue in your case

Dudash v. Southern-Owners Ins. Co., 2017 WL 1969671 (M.D. Fla. May 12, 2017); *Estate of Arroyo v. Infinity Indem. Ins. Co.,* 2016 WL 4506991 (S.D. Fla. Aug 29, 2016)

41

Strafford

What do I look for in an Expert?

Communication Skills

Educate about unfamiliar insurance concepts

Simple, plain language

Courts may bar Legal Conclusions

The policy language in question is ambiguous The claim is covered by the insurance policy

The insurer acted in bad faith

Policy Interpretation

Sixth Circuit held that District Court did not err in refusing to consider on summary judgment the report of a putative expert in the interpretation of insurance policies, because the opinions were inadmissible legal conclusions

North American Specialty Ins. Co. v. Myers, 111 F.3d 1273, 1284 (6th Cir. 1997).

Policy Interpretation

District of Colorado barred opinions of plaintiff's expert on interpretation of homeowner's policy language regarding replacement cost, and policy ambiguity

Slavin v. Garrison Prop. & Cas. Ins. Co., 2017 WL 2928030 (D. Col. July 10, 2017).

Legal Conclusions

Northern District of Illinois barred certain opinions which were legal conclusions, but allowed other opinions which were not.

Essex Ins. Co. v. The Structural Shop, Ltd. 2017 WL 2224879 (N.D. Ill. May 22, 2017); see also *Fox v. Admiral Ins. Co.*, 2016 WL 6476461 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 2, 2016).

Courts are generally more amenable to testimony on "good faith" claims principles articulated in cases, statutes and regulations

...the Court excluded the some of the opinions of the insured's bad faith expert on a motion *in limine*.

Testimony about how insurance claims are managed and evaluated, and the statutory and regulatory standards to which insurance companies must adhere, could be helpful to the jury's evaluation of whether the claim in the instant case was handled in bad faith

Kraeger v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co., 1997 WL 109582 (E.D. Pa. 3/7/1997).

Courts are generally more amenable to testimony on "good faith" claims principles articulated in cases, statutes and regulations

...the Court excluded some of the opinions of the insured's bad faith expert on a motion *in limine*.

the expert cannot testify that the defendant insurer acted in "bad faith" or violated particular statutes, as those are legal conclusions

Kraeger v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co., 1997 WL 109582 (E.D. Pa. Mar. 7, 1997).



Courts are generally more amenable to testimony on "good faith" claims principles articulated in cases, statutes and regulations

...the Court excluded some of the opinions of the insured's bad faith expert on a motion *in limine*.

But expert can opine, based upon expertise and experience, that defendant insurer had no reasonable basis for its actions

Kraeger v. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co., 1997 WL 109582 (E.D. Pa. Mar. 7, 1997).

Strafford

Industry custom and practice

The District of Colorado ruled expert testimony can be taken into account to determine

"the standard of care, if the standard is not within the common knowledge of the ordinary juror"

O'Sullivan v. GEICO Cas. Co., 233 F.Supp.3d 917 (D. Col. 2017).

Internal company standards

Court allowed bad faith expert in failure to settle case to testify about insurance company's own internal claims handling standards

AXIS Specialty Ins. Co. v. New Hampshire Inc. Co., 2017 WL 445746 (W.D. Mo. Feb. 2, 2017).

Evaluating witnesses or motives

Courts will not permit a bad faith expert to opine on insurer's motive for an action or whether someone was being truthful

Arrowood Indem. Co. v. United States Fire Ins. Co., 2016 WL 6610806 (N.D. Ohio Feb. 21, 2016).

Strafford

How far will your jurisdiction allow your expert to go?

Strafford

Application of the Ultimate Opinion Rule

Whether there is Coverage? Claims handler's interpretation of coverage

Application of the Ultimate Opinion Rule

What is "Bad Faith"?

Strafford

Application of the Ultimate Opinion Rule

State of mind of claims handlers?

55

Strafford

Application of the Ultimate Opinion Rule

Lack of reasonable claims handling

Bello v. Merrimack Mut. Fire Ins. Co.,

56

Strafford

Application of the Ultimate Opinion Rule

Lack of reasonable claims handling

Jordan v. Allstate Insurance Company

Strafford

Standard or Customary Practice In the Industry

Dinner v.

United Services Auto. Assoc. Cas. Ins. Co.,

29 Fed. Appx. 823, 827 (3d Cir. 2002)(unpublished)

58

Strafford

Standard or Customary Practice In the Industry

Ford v. Allied Mut. Ins. Co., 72 F.3d 836, 841 (10th Cir.1996)

Strafford

Using Expert Witnesses in Insurance Bad Faith and Coverage Litigation

59

Policyholder Themes

The claims person made numerous errors;

while one error might be an innocent mistake, repeated errors must be intentional/bad faith;

insurance company admitting to mistakes now simply because it was caught red-handed.

Policyholder Themes

Adjustors are less than candid, doing the bidding of the company

Policyholder Themes

David v. Goliath; the only way to send a message to the insurance company is through a large award.

Strafford

Insurance Company Themes

An insurance policy is a contract, with limits to what is covered, but an insurance company always looks to find coverage within what is reasonably permitted by the insurance contract

Insurance Company Themes

Claims handling is really customer service, and without good customer service, the insurance company will not succeed;

The insurance company went to great effort and expense to adjust the claim.

Insurance Company Themes

The insurance policy places duties and obligations on both parties; the Insurance company honored the contract more than the insured

Insurance Company Themes

Insurance companies are not perfect; they are human, can make mistakes or misunderstand without being unreasonable;

> Adjuster was trying to do job honestly and properly;

Admit to mistakes/apologize/lessons learned.

Insurance Company Themes

Large awards against insurance companies just cause everyone's premiums to go up

Strafford

Trial Preparation

Order of Witnesses:

Where does the Expert fit in?

Leadoff hitter?

Cleanup hitter?

Strafford

Testimonial Presentation:

Be concise;

the long-winded expert and/or the tedious presentation will lose the jury and possibly anger them

Graphics, Timelines, Exhibits, PowerPoint and Visual Aids

74

Strafford

Use of Experts in ADR

This is an opportunity for your expert to talk directly to opposing counsel and the opposing party

Make a strong impression

Demonstrate you are prepared to take case to trial

76

Using Expert Witnesses in Insurance Bad Faith and Coverage Litigation

Strafford

What Do I Say in Expert Disclosure

What do I not say?

Strafford

What Do I Say in Expert Disclosure

Stick with admissible descriptions

Strafford

What Do I Say in Expert Disclosure

Sample Disclosure

Strafford

What to Consider in Proffering or Opposing Expert Testimony

Experience in the insurance industry

Experience dealing with the specific types of insurance and claims at issue in your case

Education and training

What to Consider in Proffering or Opposing Expert Testimony

More than one expert is helpful, but cumulative expert testimony will normally not be allowed

If more than one expert is used, court may preclude one of them unless they offer different perspectives: for example, claims handling vs. underwriting

Rule 403, Federal Rules of Evidence

82

What to Consider in Proffering or Opposing Expert Testimony

The expert may refer to "the law," e.g., statutes or regulations if

a)they serve as a background for the development of good faith or customary claims practices, or

b) are the basis from which these good faith claims practices have evolved

What to Consider in Proffering or Opposing Expert Testimony

"Substantial Deviation"

84

Strafford